arXiv:1611.05264v1 [math.DG] 16 Nov 2016

COCLOSED G_2-STRUCTURES INDUCING NILSOLITONS

LEONARDO BAGAGLINI, MARISA FERNÁNDEZ AND ANNA FINO

Abstract. We show obstructions to the existence of a coclosed G_2-structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension seven with non-trivial center. In particular, we prove that if there exist a Lie algebra epimorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to a six-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, with kernel contained in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, then any coclosed G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a closed and stable three form on $\mathfrak{h}$ that defines an almost complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}$. As a consequence, we obtain a classification of the 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras which carry coclosed G_2-structures. We also prove that each one of these Lie algebras has a coclosed G_2-structure inducing a nilsoliton metric, but this is not true for 3-step nilpotent Lie algebras with coclosed G_2-structures. The existence of contact metric structures is also studied.

1. Introduction

A 7-dimensional smooth manifold M admits a G_2-structure if there is a reduction of the structure group of its frame bundle from $\mathrm{Gl}(7,\mathbb{R})$ to the exceptional Lie group G_2, which can actually be viewed naturally as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(7)$. Therefore, a G_2-structure determines a Riemannian metric and an orientation on M. The presence of a G_2-structure is equivalent to the existence of a positive 3-form, where the positivity is a natural nondegeneracy condition (see section 2 for details). Such a 3-form φ defines a unique Riemannian metric g_φ and an orientation on M.

Whenever this 3-form φ is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g_φ or, equivalently, the intrinsic torsion of the G_2-structure vanishes [27], then the holonomy group is contained in G_2, and this happens if and only if the 3-form φ is closed and coclosed [13]. A G_2-structure is called *closed* if the 3-form φ is closed, and a G_2-structure is said to be *coclosed* if the 3-form φ is coclosed. Usually these two classes of G_2-structures are very different in nature, being the closed condition much more restrictive; for example, coclosed G_2-structures always exist on closed spin manifolds and satisfy the parametric $h-$principle ([8]).

In [6], Conti and the second author classified the nilmanifolds endowed with an invariant closed G_2-structure. By a nilmanifold M we mean a compact manifold which is a quotient $M=\Gamma\backslash G$, where G is a connected, simply conneced and nilpotent Lie group, and $\Gamma\subset G$ is a lattice. By Mal'cev Theorem [24], a lattice $\Gamma\subset G$ exists if and only if the the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G has a basis such that the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ are rational numbers. Therefore, any nilmanifold is parallelizable, and so spin for any Riemannian metric. Then, by Theorem 1.8 from [8], every nilmanifold has a coclosed G_2-structure.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15, 22E25; Secondary 53C38, 17B30.

Key words and phrases. cocalibrated G_2 forms, half-flat structures, nilpotent Lie algebras, nilsolitons, contact forms.

In this paper we study the existence of invariant coclosed G_2-structures on 2-step nilmanifolds $\Gamma\backslash G$. Since invariant differential forms on $\Gamma\backslash G$ are uniquely determined by forms on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, we can restrict our attention to seven dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras classified in [15]. We show two necessary conditions that a Lie algebra must satisfy if it supports a coclosed G_2-structure (see section 3, Corollary 9 and Lemma 10). It turns out that if the seven dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step decomposable, then it admits a coclosed G_2-structure (section 4, Corollary 15), but if $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable, up to isomorphism, only 7 of the 9 indecomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras carry a coclosed G_2-structure (section 5, Theorem 16). As a result we obtain that there exist 2-step nilmanifolds which admit a coclosed G_2-structure, but not an invariant one.

Nilpotent Lie groups cannot admit left invariant Einstein metrics. Natural generalizations of Einstein metrics are given by Ricci solitons, which have been introduced by Hamilton in [17]. All known examples of nontrivial homogeneous Ricci solitons are left invariant metrics on simply connected solvable Lie groups, whose Ricci operator satisfies the condition $Ric(g) = \lambda I + D$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and some derivation D of the corresponding Lie algebra. The left invariant metrics satisfying the previous condition are called nilsolitons if the Lie groups are nilpotent [23]. Not all nilpotent Lie groups admit nilsoliton metrics, but if a nilsoliton exists, then it is unique up to automorphism and scaling [23].

Closed G_2-structures inducing nilsolitons have been studied in [12]. A natural question is thus to see how is restrictive to impose that a left-invariant coclosed G_2-structure on a nilpotent Lie group induces a nilsoliton metric. In section 6 we prove that all the 2-step nilpotent Lie groups admitting a left-invariant coclosed G_2-structure have a coclosed G_2-structure inducing a nilsoliton (see Theorem 17 and Theorem 18). However, this property is not true for higher steps. Indeed, in Example 19, we show that there exists a 3-step nilpotent Lie group admitting a nilsoliton and a left-invariant coclosed G_2-structure, but not having any coclosed G_2-structure inducing the nilsoliton.

Given a smooth manifold M of dimension seven, one can ask the existence not only of a G_2-structure but also of a contact structure. By [2] every manifold with G_2-structure admits an almost contact structure and two types of compatibility between contact and G_2-structures have been studied. In section 7, we show that if a 2-step nilmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$ admits a contact metric (g, η) such that the metric g is induced by a coclosed G_2-structure, then G is isomorphic to the 7-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group (Proposition 20), and therefore $\Gamma\backslash G$ has an invariant Sasakian structure. In higher step, this property is not true. Indeed, in Example 21, we construct a 3-step (not Sasakian) 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra admitting a K-contact metric structure (η, g) such that the metric g is determined by a coclosed G_2-structure.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Diego Conti for useful comments. The first and third author are partially supported by INdAM. The second author is partially supported through Project MICINN (Spain) MTM2014-54804-P and Basque Government Project IT: 1094-16.

2. Algebraic preliminaries on stable forms

In this section, we collect some results about stable forms on an n-dimensional real vector space. We focus on the existence of stable forms in dimension $n = 6$ and $n = 7$ [7, 10, 18, 26, 28].

Let V be a real vector space of dimension n. Consider the representation of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ on the space $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ of k-forms on V. An element $\rho \in \Lambda^k(V^*)$ is said to be *stable* if its orbit under $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is open in $\Lambda^k(V^*)$.

In the following proposition, we recall the values of k and n, for which there exist open orbits in $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, and so for which there exist stable k-forms on V.

Proposition 1 ([7, 19])**.** *Let V be an n-dimensional real vector space. For $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$, the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ has an open orbit in $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ if and only if $k \leq 2$, or if $k = 3$ and $n = 6, 7$ or 8. Moreover, the number of open orbits in $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ is finite.*

Note that any non-zero 1-form on V is stable. In fact, if α is such a 1-form, then the orbit of α is an open in V^* since $\mathrm{GL}(V).\alpha = V^* \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, according with the following result due to Hictchin ([18, 19]), it happens that for any stable k-form ρ on V, there is a *dual* $(n-k)$-form $\widehat{\rho} \in \Lambda^{n-k}(V^*)$, which is also stable and such that $\widehat{\rho} \wedge \rho$ defines a volume form on V.

Proposition 2 ([7, 18, 19, 28])**.** *Let V be an n-dimensional oriented vector space, and assume that $k \in \{2, n-2\}$ and n even, or $k \in \{3, n-3\}$ and $n = 6, 7$ or 8. Then, there exists a $\mathrm{GL}(V)$-equivariant map*

$$\varepsilon : \Lambda^k(V^*) \to \Lambda^n(V^*), \tag{1}$$

homogeneous of degree $\frac{n}{k}$, such that it assigns a volume form to a stable k-form and it vanishes on non-stable forms. Given a stable k-form ρ, the derivative of ε in ρ defines a dual *$(n-k)$-form $\widehat{\rho} \in \Lambda^{n-k}(V^*)$ by the following property*

$$d\varepsilon_\rho(\alpha) = \widehat{\rho} \wedge \alpha,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(V^*)$. Moreover, the dual form $\widehat{\rho}$ is also stable, the identity component of its stabiliser is equal to the stabiliser of ρ; and the forms ρ, $\widehat{\rho}$ and the volume form $\varepsilon(\rho)$ are related by the relation

$$\widehat{\rho} \wedge \rho = \frac{n}{k}\varepsilon(\rho).$$

In the following subsections, we recall the explicit description of the spaces of the open orbits in $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ when $n = 6$ and when $n = 7$.

2.1. Stable forms in dimension six.

Let V be a 6-dimensional oriented vector space.

Theorem 3 ([18, 19, 20])**.** *There is a unique $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ open orbit in $\Lambda^2(V^*)$, which can be characterized as follows*

$$\Lambda_0(V^*) = \left\{\omega \in \Lambda^2(V^*) \mid \omega^3 \neq 0\right\}.$$

Therefore, if $\omega \in \Lambda_0(V^*)$, then its stabiliser is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{R})$, its volume form $\varepsilon(\omega)$ (where ε is the map (1)) can be chosen to be equal to $\frac{1}{3!}\omega^3$, and its dual form $\widehat{\omega}$

(in the sense of Proposition 2) is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\omega^2$*. Moreover, there exists a suitable coframe* $\{f^1,\dots,f^6\}$ *of* V^* *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= f^{12}+f^{34}+f^{56},\\ \widehat{\omega} &= f^{1234}+f^{1256}+f^{3456},\\ \varepsilon(\omega) &= f^{123456},\end{aligned}$$

where f^{12} *stands for* $f^1\wedge f^2$*, and so on.*

In order to describe the open orbits in $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ we proceed as follows. For any $\rho\in\Lambda^3(V^*)$, we consider the map $k_\rho : V\mapsto\Lambda^5(V^*)$ defined by

$$k_\rho(x) \,=\, \iota_x\rho\wedge\rho,$$

where ι_x denotes the contraction by the vector $x\in V$. Clearly $\Lambda^5(V^*)\cong V\otimes\Lambda^6(V^*)$. Indeed, the map $\mu:\Lambda^5(V^*)\mapsto V\otimes\Lambda^6(V^*)$ given by $\mu(\xi)=x\otimes\alpha\in V\otimes\Lambda^6(V^*)$, with $\iota_x(\alpha)=\xi$, is an isomorphism. Thus, we have the linear map

$$K_\rho \,=\, \mu\circ k_\rho : V\mapsto V\otimes\Lambda^6(V^*). \tag{2}$$

Then, one can also define the quadratic function

$$\lambda:\Lambda^3(V^*)\mapsto\left(\Lambda^6(V^*)\right)^{\otimes 2}$$

on the space $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ by

$$6\,\lambda(\rho)=\operatorname{trace}({K_\rho}^2)\in\left(\Lambda^6V^*\right)^{\otimes 2}. \tag{3}$$

An element $\lambda(\rho)\in(\Lambda^6(V^*))^{\otimes 2}$, where $\rho\in\Lambda^3(V^*)$, is said to be *positive*, and we write $\lambda(\rho)>0$, if $\lambda(\rho)=\nu\otimes\nu$ with $\nu\in\Lambda^6(V^*)$. In this case, the form ρ is called *positive*. An element $\lambda(\rho)\in(\Lambda^6(V^*))^{\otimes 2}$ is said to be *negative*, and we write $\lambda(\rho)<0$, if $-\lambda(\rho)$ is positive, that is $-\lambda(\rho)=\nu\otimes\nu$. Then, the form ρ is called *negative*.

Now, let us suppose that $\rho\in\Lambda^3(V^*)$ is such that $\lambda(\rho)\neq 0$. Then, we can consider the linear map $J_\rho:V\to V$ such that, for $x\in V$, $J_\rho(x)$ is defined by

$$J_\rho(x) \,=\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\rho)}}K_\rho(x)\in V, \tag{4}$$

if $\lambda(\rho)>0$, and

$$J_\rho(x) \,=\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda(\rho)}}K_\rho(x)\in V, \tag{5}$$

if $\lambda(\rho)<0$.

Theorem 4 ([18, 19, 20])**.** *The unique* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ *open orbits in* $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ *are the two following sets*

$$\Lambda_+(V^*)=\left\{\rho\in\Lambda^3V^*\,|\,\lambda(\rho)>0\right\}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\Lambda_-(V^*)=\left\{\rho\in\Lambda^3V^*\,|\,\lambda(\rho)<0\right\},$$

where $\lambda(\rho)$ *is given by* (3)*. If* $\rho\in\Lambda_+(V^*)$*, then the identity component of its stabiliser is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{R})$*, and there is a coframe* $\{f^1,\dots,f^6\}$ *of* V^* *such that* ρ *has the following expression*

$$\rho=f^{123}+f^{456}.$$

If $\rho \in \Lambda_-(V^*)$, the identity component of its stabiliser is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ *and there is a coframe $\{f^1,\dots,f^6\}$ of V^* such that*

$$\rho = -f^{246} + f^{136} + f^{145} + f^{235}.$$

Moreover, $\rho \in \Lambda_+(V^*)$ if and only if the map J_ρ, defined by (4)*, is a paracomplex structure on V; and $\rho \in \Lambda_-(V^*)$ if and only if the map J_ρ, defined by* (5)*, is a complex structure on V. In both cases the dual form $\widehat{\rho}$ of ρ is given by $\widehat{\rho} = -J_\rho^*\rho$.*

Remark 1. Note that a 3-form ρ on a 6-dimensional oriented vector space V is stable if and only if $\lambda(\rho) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $\rho \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$ is a 3-form on V, then $k_\rho = k_{-\rho}$, so $K_\rho = K_{-\rho}$ and $\lambda(\rho) = \lambda(-\rho)$. Thus, if $\rho \in \Lambda_+(V^*)$, then $-\rho \in \Lambda_+(V^*)$; and if $\rho \in \Lambda_-(V^*)$, then $-\rho \in \Lambda_-(V^*)$.

2.2. **SU(3)-structures as pairs of stable forms in $\Lambda_0(V^*) \times \Lambda_-(V^*)$.** We recall the notion of SU(3)-structure on a vector space V of (real) dimension 6. An SU(3)-*structure* on V is a triple (g, J, ψ) such that (g, J) is an almost Hermitian structure on V, and $\psi = \psi_+ + i\,\psi_-$ is a complex $(3,0)$-form, which satisfies

$$\psi_+ \wedge \psi_- = \frac{2}{3}\omega^3, \tag{6}$$

where ω is the Kähler form of (g, J), and ψ_+ and ψ_- are the real part and the imaginary part of ψ, respectively. It is clear that $\omega \wedge \psi_+ = \omega \wedge \psi_- = 0$ and $\psi_- = J\psi_+$.

Theorem 5 ([18], [28])**.** *Let $(\omega, \psi_-) \in \Lambda_0(V^*) \times \Lambda_-(V^*)$ such that*

$$\omega \wedge \psi_- = 0.$$

Let J_{ψ_-} be the complex structure on V defined by (5)*, and let $h : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ be the map given by*

$$h(x, y) = \omega(x, J_{\psi_-}y),$$

for $x, y \in V$. Then, if h is positive definite, the stabiliser of the pair (ω, ψ_-) is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V, h)$ isomorphic to SU(3)*, that is the pair (ω, ψ_-) defines an* SU(3)*-structure for which J_{ψ_-} is the complex structure, $\psi = -J_{\psi_-}^*\psi_- + i\psi_-$ is the complex volume form and h the underlying Hermitian metric. Furthermore, any other* SU(3)*-structure is obtained in this way.*

If (ω, ψ_-) is normalized*, that is the condition* (6) *is satisfied, then the dual form $\widehat{\omega}$ and the real part ψ_+ of ψ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\omega} &= \star_h\omega, \\ \psi_+ &= \star_h\psi_-, \end{aligned}$$

where $\star_h$ is the Hodge star operator of h; and there exists a suitable ($h-$orthonormal) coframe $\{f^1,\dots,f^6\}$ of V^* such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= f^{12} + f^{34} + f^{56}, \\ \psi_- &= -f^{246} + f^{136} + f^{145} + f^{235}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

2.3. **Stable forms in dimension seven.** Let V be a 7-dimensional oriented vector space. For each 3-form $\varphi \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$ on V, we can define the symmetric quadratic form $b_\varphi : V \times V \to \Lambda^7(V^*)$ by

$$6\, b_\varphi(x,y) = \iota_x\varphi \wedge \iota_y\varphi \wedge \varphi,$$

where $x, y \in V$. If φ is stable, then (see [18, 28]) the volume form $\varepsilon(\varphi)$ defined by the map (1) is given by

$$\varepsilon(\varphi) = \sqrt[9]{\det(b_\varphi)}.$$

Then, we can consider the symmetric map $g_\varphi : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g_\varphi(x,y)\, \varepsilon(\varphi) = b_\varphi(x,y), \tag{8}$$

where $x, y \in V$.

Definition 6. Let V be a 7-dimensional oriented vector space. A 3-form φ on V is called *positive* if g_φ is positive definite; and φ is said to be *negative* if g_φ is indefinite.

The following result states that the positive and negative forms on V are the unique stable 3-forms on V.

Theorem 7 ([18], [28])**.** *There are exactly two* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ *open orbits in* $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ *which are defined by*

$$\Pi_+(V^*) = \left\{\varphi \in \Lambda^3(V^*) \mid g_\varphi \text{ is positive definite}\right\},$$

and

$$\Pi_-(V^*) = \left\{\varphi \in \Lambda^3(V^*) \mid g_\varphi \text{ is indefinite}\right\}.$$

If $\varphi \in \Pi_+(V^*)$*, then the stabiliser of* φ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{O}(V, g_\varphi)$ *isomorphic to* G_2*, the dual form* $\phi = \widehat{\varphi}$ *of* φ *is given by* $\phi = \star_\varphi\varphi$*, where* $\star_\varphi$ *is the Hodge star operator of the metric* g_φ*. Moreover, in a suitable* g_φ*-orthonormal coframe* $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ *of* V^**, the forms* φ *and* $\phi = \widehat{\varphi}$ *have the following expressions*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= f^{127} + f^{347} + f^{567} + f^{135} - f^{146} - f^{236} - f^{245}, \\ \phi &= f^{1234} + f^{1256} + f^{1367} + f^{1457} + f^{2357} - f^{2467} + f^{3456}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

If $\varphi \in \Pi_-(V^*)$*, then the metric* g_φ *has signature* $(3,4)$*, and the stabiliser of* φ *is the non-compact group* G_2^**. In this case, there exists a* g_φ*-orthonormal coframe* $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ *of* V^* *such that the forms* φ *and* $\phi = \widehat{\varphi}$ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= -f^{127} + f^{347} + f^{567} + f^{135} - f^{146} - f^{236} - f^{245}, \\ \phi &= \star_\varphi\varphi = f^{3456} - f^{1234} - f^{1256} - f^{2467} + f^{1367} + f^{1457} + f^{2357}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. The correspondence $\{\varphi \mapsto \star_\varphi\varphi\}$ from the set of stable 3−forms to the one is stable 4−forms is 2 : 1, preserves both positivity and negativity, and verifies $g_\varphi = g_{\star_\varphi\varphi}$. A section of this map is completely determined by an orientation on V (see [3]).

3. Coclosed G_2-structures and obstructions

In this section we show obstructions to the existence of a coclosed G_2 form on a Lie algebra with non-trivial center, but not necessarily nilpotent. First, we recall some definitions and results about G_2-structures.

A 7-dimensional smooth manifold M is said to admit a G_2-*structure* if there is a reduction of the structure group of its frame bundle from $GL(7,\mathbb{R})$ to the exceptional Lie group G_2, which can actually be viewed naturally as a subgroup of $SO(7)$. Thus, a G_2-structure determines a Riemannian metric and an orientation on M. In fact, the presence of a G_2-structure is equivalent to the existence of a 3-form φ (the G_2 form) on M, which is *positive* (in the sense of Definition 6) on the tangent space T_pM of M at every point $p \in M$.

If φ is a G_2-form on M, then by (8) φ induces both an orientation and a Riemannian metric g_φ on M given by

$$6\, g_\varphi(X,Y)\, vol = \iota_X\varphi \wedge \iota_Y\varphi \wedge \varphi, \tag{10}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M, where vol is the volume form on M, and ι_X denotes the contraction by X. Let $\star_\varphi$ be the Hodge star operator determined by g_φ and the orientation induced by φ. We will always write ϕ to mean the dual 4-form of a G_2 form, that is

$$\phi = \star_\varphi\varphi.$$

We say that a manifold M has a *coclosed* G_2-*structure* if there is a G_2-structure on M such that the G_2 form φ is coclosed, that is $d\phi = 0$.

Now, let G be a 7-dimensional simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, a G_2-structure on G is *left invariant* if and only if the corresponding 3-form is left invariant. According to Theorem 7, a left invariant G_2-structure on G is defined by a positive 3-form $\varphi \in \Pi_+(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which can be written as

$$\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}, \tag{11}$$

with respect to some orthonormal coframe $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. So the dual form $\phi = \star_\varphi\varphi$ has the following expression

$$\phi = e^{1234} + e^{1256} + e^{1367} + e^{1457} + e^{2357} - e^{2467} + e^{3456}, \tag{12}$$

where e^{127} stands for $e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^7$, and so on.

A G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *coclosed* (or *cocalibrated*) if φ is coclosed, that is

$$d\phi = 0,$$

where d denotes the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. By [24] we know that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent with rational structure constants, then the associated simply connected nilpotent Lie group G admits a uniform discrete subgroup Γ. Therefore, a G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ determines a G_2-structure on the compact manifold $\Gamma\backslash G$, which is called a compact nilmanifold; and if $\mathfrak{g}$ has a coclosed G_2-structure, the G_2-structure on $\Gamma\backslash G$ is also coclosed.

In order to show obstructions to the existence of a coclosed G_2-structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, let us consider first the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a direct sum of two ideals $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a 6-dimensional Lie algebra. If ϕ is a 4-form defining a G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, and the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is *orthogonal with respect to the underlying metric on* $\mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + \psi_- \wedge dt,$$

where the pair (ω, ψ_-) defines an SU(3)-structure on $\mathfrak{h}$, and t is a coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$. Now the condition that ϕ is closed is equivalent to both ω^2 and ψ_- are closed. This means that the SU(3)-structure is *half-flat*. There are exactly 24 nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension six that admit a half-flat structure [5]. Hence, if we focus our attention on decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras, there are at least 24 nilpotent Lie algebras, of dimension seven, with a coclosed G_2-structure. In Theorem 14, we show that exactly those are the decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras admitting coclosed G_2-structures.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional Lie algebra with non-trivial center. Then, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, the quotient $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Span}\{X\}$ has a unique Lie algebra structure that makes the projection map $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ a Lie algebra morphism. Thus, we have the following short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{13}$$

Moreover, if we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, every epimorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$, with $\mathfrak{h}$ of dimension six, is of this form.

We need also to recall the following result due to Schulte [28, Proposition 4.5]. If φ is a G_2-structure on a 7-dimensional Lie algebra and we choose a vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, of length one with respect to the metric g_φ determined by φ, then on the orthogonal complement of the span of X one has an SU(3)-structure (ω, ψ_-) given by the 2-form $\omega = \iota_X\varphi$ and the 3-form $\psi_- = -\iota_X\phi$, where ϕ is the dual 4-form of φ, that is $\phi = \star_\varphi\varphi$.

Proposition 8. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a seven dimensional Lie algebra, with non-trivial center and a 3-form φ defining a coclosed G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is an epimorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to a six dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, with kernel contained in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, then φ determines an SU(3)-structure (ω, ψ_-) on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that the 3-form ψ_- is closed.*

Proof. Denote by g_φ the underlying metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by the G_2-structure φ, and denote by ϕ the dual 4-form of φ, that is $\phi = \star_\varphi\varphi$. Let X be a unit vector in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\eta = \iota_X(g_\varphi)$ be the dual form of X with respect to g_φ. By the aforementioned result of [28, Proposition 4.5] we know that φ induces an SU(3)-structure $(\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi_-})$ on the orthogonal complement V of the span of X, that is on $\ker(\eta)$, such that

$$\phi = \widetilde{\sigma} + \widetilde{\psi_-} \wedge \eta,$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Lambda^4(V^*)$ is the dual 4-form of $\widetilde{\omega}$ with respect to the metric defined by $(\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi_-})$ on the space $\ker(\eta)$.

Now, consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Span}\{X\}$ and the projection map

$$\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}.$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{h}$ and V are isomorphic as vector spaces. Then, fixed an isomorphism between these spaces and doing the pullback of the SU(3)-structure $(\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\psi_-})$ on V, we have an SU(3)-structure (ω, ψ_-) on $\mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$\phi = \pi^*\sigma + \pi^*\psi_- \wedge \eta, \tag{14}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma} \in \Lambda^4(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ is the dual 4-form of ω with respect to the metric defined by (ω, ψ_-) on $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus,

$$\psi_- = \pi_*(-\iota_X \phi).$$

Moreover, we see that

$$d\psi_- = 0, \qquad d(\pi^*\sigma) \,=\, \pi^*\psi_- \wedge d\eta.$$

In fact, since d commutes with the pullback, from (14) we have

$$0 = d\phi = \pi^*(d\sigma) + \pi^*(d\psi_-) \wedge \eta - \pi^*\psi_- \wedge d\eta.$$

Taking the contraction by X, and using that X is in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is $\mathrm{ad}(X) = 0$, we have $d\psi_- = 0$ and $d(\pi^*\sigma) \,=\, \pi^*\psi_- \wedge d\eta$. □

As a consequence of the previous Proposition, we have the following obstruction to existence of coclosed G$_2$-structures on Lie algebras with non-trivial center.

Corollary 9. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a seven dimensional oriented Lie algebra with non-trivial center. If there is an element X in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that*

$$\pi_*(\iota_X \kappa) \notin \Lambda_-(\mathfrak{h}^*), \tag{15}$$

for every closed 4-form $\kappa \in \Lambda^4(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, where $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Span}\,\{X\}$ is the projection map, then $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit any coclosed G$_2$*-structure.*

Proof. Let X be a non-zero vector in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the condition (15) is satisfied, for any closed 4-form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that there is a 4-form ϕ on $\mathfrak{g}$ defining a coclosed G$_2$-structure. Then, by Proposition 8, the 4-form ϕ determines an SU(3)-structure (ω, ψ_-) on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathrm{Span}\,\{X\}$, where $\psi_- = \pi_*(-\iota_X\phi)$ by (14). Now, Remark 1 and Theorem 5 imply that $\pi_*(\iota_X\phi) \in \Lambda_-(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, which contradicts the condition (15). So, $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit coclosed G$_2$-structures. □

Another obstruction to the existence of a coclosed G$_2$-structure on a Lie algebra is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 7-dimensional Lie algebra. If there are non-zero vectors X and Y in $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\iota_X\iota_Y\kappa)^2 = 0$, for every closed 4-form κ on $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ does not admit coclosed* G$_2$*-structures.*

Proof. It follows from (12). □

The following result, whilst straightforward, turns out effective to show that some Lie algebras do not admit coclosed G$_2$-structures (see Proposition 13).

Lemma 11. *Let (h, J) be an almost Hermitian structure on a six dimensional oriented vector space V, with orthogonal complex structure J, Hermitian metric h and fundamental two-form $\omega(.,.) = h(J.,.)$. Then, for any J-invariant $4-$dimensional subspace W of V, we have that the restriction to W of the 4-form $(\star\omega)$ is non-zero, that is $(\star\omega)|_W \neq 0$, where $\star$ denotes the Hodge $\star$-operator of the metric h.*

Proof. Since W is J-invariant, there exist non-zero vectors $x, y \in W$ such that $\{x, Jx, y, Jy\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the space W (with respect to h). Let $z \in V$ be a unit vector

orthogonal to the space W. Then $\{x, Jx, y, Jy, z, Jz\}$ is a (real) h-orthonormal basis of V. Therefore,

$$(\star\omega)(x \wedge Jx \wedge y \wedge Jy) = \omega(z \wedge Jz) = ||z||^2 = 1,$$

which proves that $(\star\omega)|_W \neq 0$. □

4. Decomposable case

In this section we classify the seven dimensional decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras which admit coclosed G_2-structures. Recall that a Lie algebra is called *decomposable* if it is the direct sum of two ideals.

For convenience, from now on we will use the following notation. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 7-dimensional Lie algebra whose dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is spanned by $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ satisfying

$$de^i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4, \qquad de^5 = e^{23}, \qquad de^6 = e^{34}, \qquad de^7 = e^{36}.$$

Then we will write

$$\mathfrak{g} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 23, 34, 36)$$

with the same meaning. Moreover, we will denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ dual to $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$.

Proposition 12. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is one of the following seven dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras*

$\mathfrak{g}_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 15, 0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 23, 34, 36)$, $\mathfrak{g}_3 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 0)$,
$\mathfrak{g}_4 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 24, 0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_5 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 23 + 15, 0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_6 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 15, 0)$,
$\mathfrak{g}_7 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 34 - 25, 0)$, $\mathfrak{g}_8 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14 + 23, 34 - 25, 0)$,

then $\mathfrak{g}$ carries no coclosed G_2-structures.

Proof. Using Lemma 10, we will prove that each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_s$, $s \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$, listed in the statement, it does not admit any coclosed G_2-structure. For this, we will show that there are non-zero vectors $X_s, Y_s \in \mathfrak{g}_s$ such that $\left(\iota_{X_s}(\iota_{Y_s}\kappa_s)\right)^2 = 0$, for any closed 4-form κ_s on $\mathfrak{g}_s$.

s = 1. A generic closed 4-form κ_1 on $\mathfrak{g}_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 15, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247} \\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356} \\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{1567}e^{1567} + c_{2345}e^{2345} \\ &+ c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2356}e^{2356} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2457}e^{2457} + c_{2567}e^{2567},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Now one can check that if the coefficient c_{2356} of κ_1 vanishes, then

$$\left(\iota_{e_3}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_1)\right)^2 = 0,$$

that is $\mathfrak{g}_1$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 10 for $X_1 = e_3$ and $Y_1 = e_6$. If $c_{2456} = 0$, then

$$\left(\iota_{e_4}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_1)\right)^2 = 0.$$

But if c_{2356} and c_{2456} are both non-zero, then for $X_1 = c_{2356}\, e_4 - c_{2456}\, e_3$ and $Y_1 = e_6$, we have

$$\Big(\iota_{c_{2356}\, e_4 - c_{2456}\, e_3}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_1)\Big)^2 = 0.$$

$\mathbf{s = 2}$. A generic closed 4-form κ_2 on $\mathfrak{g}_2 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 23, 34, 36)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356} + c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367}\\ &- c_{1247}e^{1456} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345} + c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2356}e^{2356}\\ &+ c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2467}e^{2467} + c_{3456}e^{3456} + c_{3457}e^{3457} + c_{3467}e^{3467},\\ &+ c_{3567}e^{3567},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Taking $X_2 = e_5$ and $Y_2 = e_7$, we have $\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_7}\kappa_2)\Big)^2 = 0$.

$\mathbf{s = 3}$. A generic closed 4-form κ_3 on $\mathfrak{g}_3 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 0)$ is expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_3 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{1567}e^{1567}\\ &+ c_{2345}e^{2345} + c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2367}(e^{2367} + e^{2457}) + c_{2467}e^{2467}.\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. For $X_3 = e_5$ and $Y_3 = e_6$, we have $\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_3)\Big)^2 = 0$.

$\mathbf{s = 4}$. A generic closed 4-form κ_4 on $\mathfrak{g}_4 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 24, 0)$ is expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_4 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1357}e^{1357}\\ &+ c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345} + c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347}\\ &+ c_{1367}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2457}e^{2457} + c_{2467}e^{2467} + c_{3567}e^{3567},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Then, for $X_4 = e_5$ and $Y_4 = e_6$, we have $\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_4)\Big)^2 = 0$.

$\mathbf{s = 5}$. A generic closed 4-form κ_5 on $\mathfrak{g}_5 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 23 + 15, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_5 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{1567}e^{1567} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{1456}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} - c_{1467}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} - (c_{1567} + c_{2367})e^{2457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Then, for $X_5 = e_5$ and $Y_5 = e_6$, we have $\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_5)\Big)^2 = 0$.

s = 6. A generic closed 4-form κ_6 on $\mathfrak{g}_6 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 15, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_6 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{1567}e^{1567} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2367}e^{2367} - c_{2367}e^{2457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. So, for $X_6 = e_5$ and $Y_6 = e_7$, $\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_7}\kappa_6)\Big)^2 = 0$.

s = 7. A generic closed 4-form κ_6 on $\mathfrak{g}_7 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14, 34 - 25, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_7 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1256}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345} + c_{2346}e^{2346}\\ &+ c_{2347}e^{2347} - c_{1267}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} - c_{1367}e^{2457} - c_{1467}e^{3457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Thus, $(i_{e_5}(i_{e_7}\kappa_7))^2 = 0$.

s = 8. A generic closed 4-form κ_6 on $\mathfrak{g}_8 = (0, 0, 12, 13, 14+23, 34-25, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_8 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1256}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} - c_{1456}e^{2356} - (c_{1267} + c_{1457})e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} - c_{1367}e^{2457}\\ &- c_{1467}e^{3457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. On can check that if the coefficient c_{1456} vanishes, then

$$\Big(\iota_{e_5}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_8)\Big)^2 = 0,$$

that is $\mathfrak{g}_8$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 10 for $X_8 = e_5$ and $Y_8 = e_6$. If $c_{2346} = 0$, then

$$\Big(\iota_{e_4}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_8)\Big)^2 = 0.$$

But if c_{1456} and c_{2346} are both non-zero, then for $X_8 = c_{2346}\, e_5 + c_{1456}\, e_4$ and $Y_8 = e_6$, we have

$$\Big(\iota_{c_{2346}\, e_5 + c_{1456}\, e_4}(\iota_{e_6}\kappa_8)\Big)^2 = 0.$$

□

Moreover, using Lemma 11, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 13. *None of the following seven dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras*

$$\mathfrak{l}_1 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13 - 24, 14 + 23, 0), \quad \mathfrak{l}_2 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 13 - 24, 0),$$
$$\mathfrak{l}_3 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13 + 14, 24, 0)$$

admits coclosed G_2*-structures.*

Proof. We will prove, by contradiction, that no closed 4-form τ_s defines a coclosed G_2-structure on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}_s$ $(s = 1, 2, 3)$. We proceed case by case.

For $\mathbf{s = 1}$. A generic closed 4-form τ_1 on $\mathfrak{l}_1 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13 - 24, 14 + 23, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345} + c_{2346}e^{2346}\\ &+ c_{2347}e^{2347} - c_{1456}e^{2356} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} - c_{1356}e^{2456} + (c_{1357} + c_{1467} + c_{2367})e^{2457}\\ &+ c_{2467}e^{2467},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Let us suppose that, for some real numbers c_{ijkl}, the 4-form τ_1 defines a coclosed G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{l}_1$. Since e_7 is in the center of $\mathfrak{l}_1$, Proposition 8 implies that

$$\nu_1 = -\pi_*(\iota_{e_7}\tau_1)$$

is a negative 3-form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{l}_1/\operatorname{Span}\{e_7\}$, where $\pi : \mathfrak{l}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_1$ is the projection. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_1 =& c_{1237}e^{123} + c_{1247}e^{124} + c_{1257}e^{125} + c_{1267}e^{126} + c_{1347}e^{134} + c_{1357}e^{135} + c_{1367}e^{136} + c_{1457}e^{145}\\ &+ c_{1467}e^{146} + c_{2347}e^{234} + c_{2357}e^{235} + c_{2367}e^{236} + (c_{1357} + c_{1467} + c_{2367})e^{245} + c_{2467}e^{246}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the map K_{ν_1}, defined by (2), has the following expression

$$K_{\nu_1} = (K^{\nu_1}_{ab}) \otimes e^{123456} = \begin{pmatrix} K^{\nu_1}_{11} & K^{\nu_1}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{21} & K\nu_{122} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{31} & K^{\nu_1}_{32} & K^{\nu_1}_{33} & K^{\nu_1}_{34} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{41} & K^{\nu_1}_{42} & K^{\nu_1}_{43} & K^{\nu_1}_{44} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{51} & K^{\nu_1}_{52} & K^{\nu_1}_{53} & K^{\nu_1}_{54} & K^{\nu_1}_{55} & K^{\nu_1}_{56}\\ K^{\nu_1}_{61} & K^{\nu_1}_{62} & K^{\nu_1}_{63} & K^{\nu_1}_{64} & K^{\nu_1}_{65} & K^{\nu_1}_{66}\end{pmatrix} \otimes e^{123456},$$

where $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, and $K^{\nu_1}_{ab}$ is a polynomial function of the coefficients c_{ijkl} that appear in the expression of ν_1. In fact, by (2) it turns out that

$$(\iota_{e_b}\nu_1) \wedge \nu_1 = \sum_{1\le a\le 6} K^{\nu_1}_{ab}\, e^{1\dots\hat{a}\dots 6}.$$

Therefore, $K^{\nu_1}_{ab}\, e^{1\dots 6} = (\iota_{e_b}\nu_1) \wedge \nu_1 \wedge e^a$. Then, one can check that $K^{\nu_1}_{ab} = 0$, for $a = 1, 2$ and $b \ge 3$, and also $K^{\nu_1}_{ab} = 0$, for $a = 3, 4$ and $b = 5, 6$. Thus, the claim is true.

Since $\nu_1 \in \Lambda_-(\mathfrak{h}_1{}^*)$, (5) and Theorem 4 imply that ν_1 defines the almost complex structure J_{ν_1} on $\mathfrak{h}_1$ given by

$$J_{\nu_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|-\lambda(\nu_1)|}} \begin{pmatrix} K^{\nu_1}_{11} & K^{\nu_1}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{21} & K^{\nu_1}_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{31} & K^{\nu_1}_{32} & K^{\nu_1}_{33} & K^{\nu_1}_{34} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{41} & K^{\nu_1}_{42} & K^{\nu_1}_{43} & K^{\nu_1}_{44} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_1}_{51} & K^{\nu_1}_{52} & K^{\nu_1}_{53} & K^{\nu_1}_{54} & K^{\nu_1}_{55} & K^{\nu_1}_{56}\\ K^{\nu_1}_{61} & K^{\nu_1}_{62} & K^{\nu_1}_{63} & K^{\nu_1}_{64} & K^{\nu_1}_{65} & K^{\nu_1}_{66}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the subspace $W = \operatorname{Span}\{e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ is J_{ν_1}-invariant. Now, consider an arbitrary 1-form $\eta = \sum_{r=1}^{7} C_r e^r$ on $\mathfrak{l}_1$. According with (14), and taking into account the

expressions of τ_1 and ν_1, we see that the 4-form $\sigma = \pi_*(\tau_1 - \pi^*\nu_1 \wedge \eta)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_1$, has zero component in e^{3456} vanishes. Hence, $\sigma|_W = \sigma(e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6) = 0$ contradicting Lemma 11. Thus τ_1 never defines a coclosed G_2-structure.

For $\mathbf{s = 2}$. A generic closed four-form on $\mathfrak{l}_2 = (0, 0, 0, 12, 14, 13 - 24, 0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2 =& c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1267}e^{1267} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{2456}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{2456}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + (c_{2467} - c_{2357})e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1467}e^{1467} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2367}e^{2367} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2457}e^{2457} + c_{2467}e^{2467},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Let us suppose that, for some real numbers c_{ijkl}, the 4-form τ_2 defines a coclosed G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{l}_2$. Since e_7 is in the center of $\mathfrak{l}_2$, by Proposition 8 we have that $\nu_2 = -\pi_*(i_{e_7}\tau_2)$ is a negative 3-form on $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{l}_2/\operatorname{Span}\{e_7\}$ where $\pi : \mathfrak{l}_2 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ is the natural projection. Thus, ν_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2 =& c_{1237}e^{123} + c_{1247}e^{124} + c_{1257}e^{125} + c_{1267}e^{126} + c_{1347}e^{134} + c_{1357}e^{135} + c_{1367}e^{136} + c_{1457}e^{145} +\\ &c_{1467}e^{146} + c_{2347}e^{234} + c_{2357}e^{235} + c_{2367}e^{236} + c_{2457}e^{245} + (c_{2357} + c_{1367})e^{246}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the map K_{ν_2}, defined by (2), has the following expression

$$K_{\nu_2} = (K^{\nu_2}_{ab}) \otimes e^{123456} = \begin{pmatrix} K^{\nu_2}_{11} & K^{\nu_2}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{21} & K^{\nu_2}_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{31} & K^{\nu_2}_{32} & K^{\nu_2}_{33} & K^{\nu_2}_{34} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{41} & K^{\nu_2}_{42} & K^{\nu_2}_{43} & K^{\nu_2}_{44} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{51} & K^{\nu_2}_{52} & K^{\nu_2}_{53} & K^{\nu_2}_{54} & K^{\nu_2}_{55} & K^{\nu_2}_{56}\\ K^{\nu_2}_{61} & K^{\nu_2}_{62} & K^{\nu_2}_{63} & K^{\nu_2}_{64} & K^{\nu_2}_{65} & K^{\nu_2}_{66} \end{pmatrix} \otimes e^{123456},$$

where $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, and $K^{\nu_2}_{ab}$ is a polynomial function of the coefficients c_{ijkl} that appear in the expression of ν_2. In fact, by (2) it turns out that

$$(\iota_{e_b}\nu_2) \wedge \nu_2 = \sum_{1 \le a \le 6} K^{\nu_2}_{ab}\, e^{1\dots\hat{a}\dots 6}.$$

Therefore, $K^{\nu_2}_{ab}\, e^{1\dots 6} = (\iota_{e_b}\nu_2) \wedge \nu_2 \wedge e^a$. Then, one can check that $K^{\nu_2}_{ab} = 0$, for $a = 1, 2$ and $b \ge 3$, and also $K^{\nu_2}_{ab} = 0$, for $a = 3, 4$ and $b = 5, 6$. Thus, the claim is true.

Since $\nu_2 \in \Lambda_-(\mathfrak{h}_2{}^*)$, (5) and Theorem 4 imply that ν_2 defines the almost complex structure J_{ν_2} on $\mathfrak{h}_2$ given by

$$J_{\nu_2} = \frac{1}{|\sqrt{-\lambda(\nu_2)}|} \begin{pmatrix} K^{\nu_2}_{11} & K^{\nu_2}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{21} & K^{\nu_2}_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{31} & K^{\nu_2}_{32} & K^{\nu_2}_{33} & K^{\nu_2}_{34} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{41} & K^{\nu_2}_{42} & K^{\nu_2}_{43} & K^{\nu_2}_{44} & 0 & 0\\ K^{\nu_2}_{51} & K^{\nu_2}_{52} & K^{\nu_2}_{53} & K^{\nu_2}_{54} & K^{\nu_2}_{55} & K^{\nu_2}_{56}\\ K^{\nu_2}_{61} & K^{\nu_2}_{62} & K^{\nu_2}_{63} & K^{\nu_2}_{64} & K^{\nu_2}_{65} & K^{\nu_2}_{66} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the subspace $W = \operatorname{Span}\{e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ is J_{ν_2}-invariant. Now, consider an arbitrary 1-form $\eta = \sum_{r=1}^{7} C_r e^r$ on $\mathfrak{l}_2$. According with (14), and taking into account the expressions of τ_2 and ν_2, we see that the 4-form $\sigma = \pi_*(\tau_2 - \pi^*\nu_2 \wedge \eta)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_2$, has zero component in e^{3456}. Hence, $\sigma|_W = \sigma(e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6) = 0$ contradicting Lemma 11. Thus τ_2 never defines a coclosed G_2-structure.

For $\mathbf{s=3}$. A generic closed four-form on $\mathfrak{l}_3=(0,0,0,12,13+14,24,0)$ has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2 =&c_{1234}e^{1234}+c_{1235}e^{1235}+c_{1236}e^{1236}+c_{1237}e^{1237}+c_{1245}e^{1245}+c_{1246}e^{1246}+c_{1247}e^{1247}\\&+c_{1256}e^{1256}+c_{1257}e^{1257}+c_{1267}e^{1267}+c_{1345}e^{1345}+c_{1346}e^{1346}+c_{1347}e^{1347}\\&+c_{1357}e^{1357}+c_{1367}e^{1367}+c_{1456}e^{1456}+c_{1457}e^{1457}+c_{1467}e^{1467}+c_{2345}e^{2345}+c_{2346}e^{2346}\\&+c_{2347}e^{2347}+c_{2357}e^{2357}+c_{2367}e^{2367}+c_{2356}e^{2456}+(c_{1367}+c_{2357})e^{2457}+c_{2467}e^{2467},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Let us suppose that, for some real numbers c_{ijkl}, the 4-form τ_3 defines a coclosed G$_2$-structure on $\mathfrak{l}_2$. Since e_7 is in the center of $\mathfrak{l}_1$, by Proposition 8 we have that $\nu_3=-\pi_*(i_{e_7}\tau_3)$ is a negative 3-form on $\mathfrak{h}_3=\mathfrak{l}_3/\operatorname{Span}\{e_7\}$ where $\pi:\mathfrak{l}_3\to\mathfrak{h}_3$ is the natural projection. Thus, ν_3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_3 =&c_{1237}e^{123}+c_{1247}e^{124}+c_{1257}e^{125}+c_{1267}e^{126}+c_{1347}e^{134}+c1357e^{135}+c_{1367}e^{136}+c_{1457}e^{145}+\\&c_{1467}e^{146}+c_{2347}e^{234}+c_{2357}e^{235}+c_{2367}e^{236}+(c_{2357}-c_{1367})e^{245}+c_{2467}e^{246}.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that the map K_{ν_3}, defined by (2), has the following expression

$$K_{\nu_3}=(K^{\nu_3}_{ab})\otimes e^{123456}=\begin{pmatrix}K^{\nu_3}_{11}&K^{\nu_3}_{12}&0&0&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{21}&K^{\nu_3}_{22}&0&0&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{31}&K^{\nu_3}_{32}&K^{\nu_3}_{33}&K^{\nu_3}_{34}&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{41}&K^{\nu_3}_{42}&K^{\nu_3}_{43}&K^{\nu_3}_{44}&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{51}&K^{\nu_3}_{52}&K^{\nu_3}_{53}&K^{\nu_3}_{54}&K^{\nu_3}_{55}&K^{\nu_3}_{56}\\K^{\nu_3}_{61}&K^{\nu_3}_{62}&K^{\nu_3}_{63}&K^{\nu_3}_{64}&K^{\nu_3}_{65}&K^{\nu_3}_{66}\end{pmatrix}\otimes e^{123456},$$

where $a,b\in\{1,\dots,6\}$, and $K^{\nu_3}_{ab}$ is a polynomial function of the coefficients c_{ijkl} that appear in the expression of ν_3. In fact, by (2) it turns out that

$$(\iota_{e_b}\nu_3)\wedge\nu_3=\sum_{1\le a\le 6}K^{\nu_3}_{ab}\,e^{1\dots\hat{a}\dots 6}.$$

Therefore, $K^{\nu_3}_{ab}\,e^{1\dots 6}=(\iota_{e_b}\nu_3)\wedge\nu_3\wedge e^a$. Then, one can check that $K^{\nu_3}_{ab}=0$, for $a=1,2$ and $b\ge 3$, and also $K^{\nu_3}_{ab}=0$, for $a=3,4$ and $b=5,6$. Thus, the claim is true.

Since $\nu_3\in\Lambda_-(\mathfrak{h}_3{}^*)$, (5) and Theorem 4 imply that ν_3 defines the almost complex structure $J_{\nu_3 2}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_3$ given by

$$J_{\nu_3}=\frac{1}{|\sqrt{-\lambda(\nu_3)}|}\begin{pmatrix}K^{\nu_3}_{11}&K^{\nu_3}_{12}&0&0&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{21}&K^{\nu_3}_{22}&0&0&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{31}&K^{\nu_3}_{32}&K^{\nu_3}_{33}&K^{\nu_3}_{34}&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{41}&K^{\nu_3}_{42}&K^{\nu_3}_{43}&K^{\nu_3}_{44}&0&0\\K^{\nu_3}_{51}&K^{\nu_3}_{52}&K^{\nu_3}_{53}&K^{\nu_3}_{54}&K^{\nu_3}_{55}&K^{\nu_3}_{56}\\K^{\nu_3}_{61}&K^{\nu_3}_{62}&K^{\nu_3}_{63}&K^{\nu_3}_{64}&K^{\nu_3}_{65}&K^{\nu_3}_{66}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the subspace $W=\operatorname{Span}\{e_3,e_4,e_5,e_6\}$ is J_{ν_3}-invariant. Now, consider an arbitrary 1-form $\eta=\sum_{r=1}^7 C_r e^r$ on $\mathfrak{l}_3$. According with (14), and taking into account the expressions of τ_3 and ν_3, we see that the 4-form $\sigma=\pi_*(\tau_3-\pi^*\nu_3\wedge\eta)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_3$, has zero component in e^{3456}. Hence, $\sigma|_W=\sigma(e_3,e_4,e_5,e_6)=0$ contradicting Lemma 11. Thus τ_3 never defines a coclosed G$_2$-structure. □

By Gong's classification there exist, up to isomorphism, 35 decomposable 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. We will show that 24 of these Lie algebras admit a coclosed G_2-structure.

Theorem 14. *Among the 35 decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras, of dimension 7, those that have a coclosed* G_2*-structure are*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{n}_1 = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0), && \mathfrak{n}_2 = (0,0,0,0,0,12,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_3 = (0,0,0,0,0,12+34,0), && \mathfrak{n}_4 = (0,0,0,0,12,13,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_5 = (0,0,0,0,12,34,0), && \mathfrak{n}_6 = (0,0,0,0,13-24,14+23,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_7 = (0,0,0,0,12,14+23,0), && \mathfrak{n}_8 = (0,0,0,0,12,14+25,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_9 = (0,0,0,0,12,15+34,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{10} = (0,0,0,12,13,23,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{11} = (0,0,0,12,13,24,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{12} = (0,0,0,12,13,14+23,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{13} = (0,0,0,12,23,14+35,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{14} = (0,0,0,12,23,14-35,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{15} = (0,0,0,12,13,14+35,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{16} = (0,0,0,12,14,15,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{17} = (0,0,0,12,14,15+24,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{18} = (0,0,0,12,14,15+24+23,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{19} = (0,0,0,12,14,15+23,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{20} = (0,0,0,12,14-23,15+34,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{21} = (0,0,12,13,23,14+25,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{22} = (0,0,12,13,23,14-25,0),\\
&\mathfrak{n}_{23} = (0,0,12,13,23,14,0), && \mathfrak{n}_{24} = (0,0,12,13,14+23,15+24,0).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 12 and Proposition 13, we know that there are 11 decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras not admitting coclosed G_2-structures. This implies that there are at most 24 decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras having a coclosed G_2-structure. But all the 24 Lie algebras listed in the statement have such a G_2-structure. It is clear on the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_1 = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0)$. Moreover, every non-abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_s$, $s \in \{2,\dots,24\}$, listed in the statement, is a direct sum of two ideals $\mathfrak{h}_s$ and $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, $\mathfrak{n}_s$ is an abelian extension of $\mathfrak{h}_s$,

$$\mathfrak{n}_s = \mathfrak{h}_s \oplus \mathbb{R}e_7,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_s$ is a 6-dimensional Lie algebra, and $e_7 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ with t a coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$.

By [5], we know that $\mathfrak{h}_s$ has a half-flat $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure (ω_s, ψ_s^-). Thus, the 4-form ϕ_s on $\mathfrak{n}_s$ given by

$$\phi_s = \frac{1}{2}\omega_s^2 + \psi_s^- \wedge dt,$$

defines a coclosed G_2-structure on $\mathfrak{n}_s$, which completes the proof. □

Corollary 15. *Any decomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, of dimension seven, has a coclosed* G_2*-structure.*

Proof. Among the 35 decomposable nilpotent Lie algebras, of dimension seven, those that are 2-step nilpotent are the 7 Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_s$, where $s \in \{2,3,4,5,6,7,10\}$, defined in Theorem 14. Thus, all of them carry coclosed G_2-structures. □

5. Indecomposable 2-step nilpotent case

In this section we complete the classification of seven dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras which admit a coclosed G_2-structure. We have seen that there are exactly 7

decomposable Lie algebras of this type. In order to discuss the indecomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, we refer to Gong's classification [15] (see also [6]). This list consists of 131 Lie algebras and 9 one-parameter families. None of the one-parameter families defines a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra. Among the indecomposable Lie algebras (with no parameters) there are only nine which are 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. They are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
17 &= (0,0,0,0,0,0,12+34+56)\,,\\
27A &= (0,0,0,0,0,12,14+35)\,,\\
27B &= (0,0,0,0,0,12+34,15+23)\,,\\
37A &= (0,0,0,0,12,23,24)\,,\\
37B &= (0,0,0,0,12,23,34)\,,\\
37B1 &= (0,0,0,0,12-34,13+24,14)\,,\\
37C &= (0,0,0,0,12+34,23,24)\,,\\
37D &= (0,0,0,0,12+34,13,24)\,,\\
37D1 &= (0,0,0,0,12-34,13+24,14-23)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 16. *Up to isomorphism, the unique indecomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras carrying a coclosed* G_2*-structure are the following:* $17, 37A, 37B, 37B1, 37C, 37D$ *and* $37D1$*.*

Proof. We know that a G_2-structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ can be defined either by a 3-form or, equivalently, by a 4-form which have the expression given by (11) or (12), respectively with respect to some orthonormal coframe $\{e^1,\dots,e^7\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For each Lie algebra appearing in the statement, an appropriate coframe and the corresponding 4-form are given as follows

- 17: $\quad \phi_1 = e^{1234}+e^{1256}+e^{1367}+e^{1457}+e^{2357}-e^{2467}+e^{3456}, \quad \{e^1,e^2,e^3,e^4,e^5,e^6,e^7\};$
- $37A$: $\phi_2 = e^{1234}+e^{1257}-e^{1356}-e^{1467}-e^{2367}+e^{2456}+e^{3457}, \quad \{e^3,e^1,e^2,e^4,e^5,e^6,e^7\};$
- $37B$: $\phi_3 = e^{1234}+e^{1457}+e^{2357}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^{1256}-e^{1356}+e^{1267}+e^{1367}+e^{2456})$ $+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^{3456}-e^{2467}+e^{3467}), \quad \{e^1,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^2-e^3),\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^2+e^3),e^4,e^5,e^6,e^7\};$
- $37B1$: $\phi_4 = e^{1234}+e^{1267}+e^{1357}+e^{1456}+e^{2356}-e^{2457}+e^{3467}, \quad \{e^1,e^4,e^2,e^3,e^5,e^6,e^7\};$
- $37C$: $\phi_5 = -e^{1234}-e^{1267}+e^{1357}+e^{1456}-e^{2356}+e^{2457}+e^{3467}, \quad \{e^2,e^3,e^4,e^1,e^6,e^5,e^7\};$
- $37D$: $\phi_6 = e^{1234}+e^{1267}+e^{3467}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^{1356}+e^{1357}+e^{1456}-e^{1457}+e^{2356})$ $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^{2357}-e^{2456}-e^{2457}), \quad \{e^1,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^3+e^4),e^2,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^3-e^4),e^5,e^6,e^7\};$
- $37D1$: $\phi_7 = -e^{1234}-e^{1267}-e^{1356}+e^{1457}-e^{2357}-e^{2456}+e^{3467}, \quad \{e^1,e^3,e^2,e^4,e^5,-e^6,e^7\}.$

It is straightforward to verify that each ϕ_i is closed on the corresponding Lie algebra.

It remains to prove that the Lie algebras $27A$ and $27B$ do not admit any coclosed G_2-structure. To that effect, we show that for these Lie algebras the hypothesis of Lemma

10 is satisfied for $X = e_6$ and $Y = e_7$. In fact, let α be a generic closed 4-form on $27A$. Then, one can check that α has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha =&c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{1567}e^{1567} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2356}e^{2356} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2457}e^{2457}\\ &+ (c_{1247} - c_{2357})e^{3456} + c_{3457}e^{3457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Thus, $\Big(\iota_{e_6}(\iota_{e_7}\alpha)\Big)^2 = 0$. A generic closed 4-form β on the Lie algebra $27B$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\beta =&c_{1234}e^{1234} + c_{1235}e^{1235} + c_{1236}e^{1236} + c_{1237}e^{1237} + c_{1245}e^{1245} + c_{1246}e^{1246} + c_{1247}e^{1247}\\ &+ c_{1256}e^{1256} + c_{1257}e^{1257} + c_{1345}e^{1345} + c_{1346}e^{1346} + c_{1347}e^{1347} + c_{1356}e^{1356}\\ &+ c_{1357}e^{1357} + c_{1367}e^{1367} + c_{1456}e^{1456} + c_{1457}e^{1457} + c_{2345}e^{2345}\\ &+ c_{2346}e^{2346} + c_{2347}e^{2347} + c_{2356}e^{2356} + c_{2357}e^{2357} + c_{2456}e^{2456} + c_{2457}e^{2457}\\ &+ (c_{1256} + c_{1457} - c_{2347})e^{3456} + c_{3457}e^{3457},\end{aligned}$$

where c_{ijkl} are arbitrary real numbers. Hence, $\Big(\iota_{e_6}(\iota_{e_7}\beta)\Big)^2 = 0$. □

6. Coclosed G_2-structures inducing nilsolitons

In this section we prove that any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, admitting a coclosed G_2-structure, also has a nilsoliton inner product determined by a coclosed G_2-structure. This result is not true for higher steps. Indeed, we give an example of a 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra supporting a nilsoliton and coclosed G_2-structures but none coclosed G_2-structure induces a nilsoliton.

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra. According to Lauret [22], an inner product g on $\mathfrak{n}$ is called *nilsoliton* if its Ricci endomorphism $Ric(g)$ differs from a derivation D of $\mathfrak{n}$ by a scalar multiple of the identity map I, that is if there exists a real number λ such that

$$Ric(g) = \lambda I + D. \tag{16}$$

Not all nilpotent Lie algebras admit nilsoliton inner products, but if a nilsoliton exists, then it is unique up to automorphism and scaling [22].

Theorem 17. *Any indecomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra admitting a coclosed* G_2*-structure, also has a coclosed* G_2*-structure inducing a nilsoliton.*

Proof. By Theorem 16 we know that, up to isomorphism, the Lie algebras $17, 37A, 37B$, $37B1, 37C, 37D$ and $37D1$ are the unique indecomposable 2-step nilpotent admitting coclosed G_2-structures. For each of these Lie algebras, defined in Section 5 in terms of a basis $\{e^1, \cdots, e^7\}$ of the dual space, we consider a new basis $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ and a coclosed G_2-structure defined by a 3-form φ_i $(1 \leq i \leq 7)$ which determines the inner product such that the basis $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ is orthonormal. The basis $\{f^j\}$, the G_2-structure φ_i and the appropriate coframe defining φ_i are given as follows

- 17: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 6, \qquad f^7 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\, e^7.$
  Then, $17 = \Big(0,0,0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{12} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{34} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{56}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_1 = f^{127} + f^{347} + f^{567} + f^{135} - f^{146} - f^{236} - f^{245}, \quad \{f^1, f^2, f^3, f^4, f^5, f^6, f^7\};$

- $37A$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^j = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\, e^j, \quad 5 \le j \le 7.$
  Then, $37A = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{12}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{23}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{24}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_2 = -f^{137} + f^{247} + f^{567} - f^{126} - f^{145} - f^{235} - f^{346}, \quad \{f^3, f^1, f^2, f^4, f^5, f^6, f^7\};$

- $37B$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^5 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}\, e^5, \qquad f^6 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\, e^6, \qquad f^7 = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}\, e^7.$
  Thus, $37B = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} f^{12}, \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} f^{23}, \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5} f^{34}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_3 = -f^{146} - f^{236} + f^{567} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^{123} + f^{125} - f^{135} - f^{137} - f^{245})$
  $+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^{247} + f^{345} + f^{347}), \qquad \{f^1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^2 - f^3), \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^2 + f^3), f^4, f^5, f^6, f^7\};$

- $37B1$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^j = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10}\, e^j, \quad 5 \le j \le 7.$
  So, $37B1 = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10}(f^{12} - f^{34}), \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10}(f^{13} + f^{24}), \frac{\sqrt{10}}{10} f^{14}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_4 = f^{125} + f^{345} + f^{567} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-f^{136} + f^{137} + f^{146} + f^{147} + f^{236})$
  $+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^{237} + f^{246} - f^{247}), \qquad \{f^1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^3 + f^4), f^2, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(f^3 - f^4), f^5, f^6, f^7\};$

- $37C$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^j = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\, e^j, \quad 5 \le j \le 7.$
  Thus, $37C = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(f^{12} + f^{34}), \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} f^{23}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} f^{24}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_5 = f^{147} + f^{237} + f^{567} + f^{125} - f^{136} + f^{246} + f^{345}, \quad \{f^1, f^4, f^2, f^3, f^5, f^6, f^7\};$

- $37D$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^j = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\, e^j, \quad 5 \le j \le 7.$
  Then, $37D = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(f^{12} + f^{34}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{13}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f^{24}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_6 = -f^{147} + f^{237} - f^{567} + f^{125} + f^{136} + f^{246} - f^{345}, \quad \{f^2, f^3, f^4, f^1, f^6, f^5, f^7\};$

- $37D1$: $f^i = e^i, \quad 1 \le i \le 4, \qquad f^j = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\, e^j, \quad 5 \le j \le 7.$
  Hence, $37D1 = \Big(0,0,0,0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}(f^{12} - f^{34}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}(f^{13} + f^{24}), \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}(f^{14} - f^{23}\Big)$, and
  $\varphi_7 = f^{137} + f^{247} - f^{567} + f^{125} + f^{146} - f^{236} - f^{345}, \quad \{f^1, f^3, f^2, f^4, f^5, -f^6, f^7\}.$

It is straightforward to check that each φ_i is coclosed and induces the inner product $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^{7} (f^j)^2$. By [9], each g_i is a nilsoliton on the corresponding Lie algebra, which completes the proof. □

Remark 3. Note that for each of the Lie algebras $17 - 37D1$ considered in the previous theorem, the change of basis from $\{e^i\}$ to $\{f^i\}$, given in the proof of Theorem 17, defines an automorphism of the Lie algebra, but it is not an isomorphism between the G_2-structure defined in the proof of Theorem 17 and the G_2-structure defined in the proof of Theorem 16. In fact, these structures define different metric.

Theorem 18. *Any decomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra has a coclosed* G_2*-structure inducing a nilsoliton.*

Proof. By Corollary 15, any decomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra admitting a coclosed G_2-structure is isomorphic to one of the following seven Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n}_s$, with $s \in \{2,3,4,5,6,7,10\}$, defined in Theorem 14. For each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_i$ consider For each of these Lie algebras, we consider the G_2 form φ, and the appropriate coframe defining φ, given by

- $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $\varphi = -e^{137} - e^{247} - e^{567} + e^{126} + e^{145} - e^{235} - e^{346}$, $\{e^1, e^3, e^2, e^4, e^6, -e^5, -e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_3$: $\varphi = -e^{127} + e^{347} - e^{567} + e^{135} + e^{146} - e^{236} + e^{245}$, $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, -e^4, e^5, e^6, -e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_4$: $\varphi = e^{147} + e^{237} + e^{567} - e^{126} - e^{135} + e^{245} - e^{346}$, $\{e^1, e^4, e^2, e^3, -e^6, e^5, e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_5$: $\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^{125} + e^{126} + e^{135} - e^{136} - e^{245} + e^{345} + e^{346} + e^{246}) + e^{147} + e^{237} + e^{567}$, $\{e^1, e^2, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^5 + e^6), e^3, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(e^5 - e^6), e^4, e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_6$: $\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} - e^{235} + e^{246} - e^{136} - e^{145}$, $\{e^1, e^2, e^6, -e^5, e^3, e^4, e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_7$: $\varphi = e^{137} + e^{247} - e^{567} - e^{125} - e^{146} + e^{236} + e^{345}$, $\{e^1, e^3, e^2, e^4, -e^5, e^6, e^7\}$;
- $\mathfrak{n}_{10}$: $\varphi = -e^{147} - e^{257} - e^{367} + e^{126} - e^{135} + e^{234} - e^{456}$, $\{e^1, e^4, e^3, e^6, -e^5, e^2, -e^7\}$.

It is straightforward to check that each form φ is coclosed on the corresponding Lie algebra, and it defines the metric $g = \sum_{i=1}^{7}(e^i)^2$, which is a nilsoliton as it is proved in [29]. □

Finally, we show an example of a 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra admitting coclosed G_2-structures and a nilsoliton which is not determined by any coclosed G_2-structure.

Example 19. Consider the 3-step nilpotent decomposable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_8$ defined in Theorem 14 as $\mathfrak{n}_8 = (0,0,0,0,12,14+25,0)$, with respect to a basis $\{e^1,\dots,e^7\}$ of the dual space $\mathfrak{n}_8^*$. Clearly, in the basis $\{f^1 = e^1, f^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\,e^2, f^3 = e^3, \dots, f^7 = e^7\}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_8$ is defined by the structure equations

$$(0,0,0,0,\sqrt{2}f^{12}, f^{14} + \sqrt{2}f^{25}, 0).$$

By [14], the inner product

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{7}(f^i)^2$$

is a nilsoliton on $\mathfrak{n}_8$, and so it is unique up to scaling and automorphism of the Lie algebra [22].

On the other hand, by Theorem 14, $\mathfrak{n}_8$ admits a coclosed G_2-structure. However, we will show that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_8$ does not carry any coclosed G_2-structure inducing the nilsoliton g. For this, we consider the G_2-structure defined by the 3-form

$$\varphi_0 = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}.$$

Denote by $\star$ the Hodge operator defined by φ_0. By using the formula (3.6) in [3], we know that every positive three-form φ on $\mathfrak{n}_8$, compatible with the previous metric g and

orientation, can be written as

$$\varphi = (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)\varphi_0 + 2a * (\alpha \wedge \varphi_0) + i(\alpha \circ \alpha),$$

where a is a constant, α is a one-form satisfying $a^2 + |\alpha|^2 = 1$, and $i(\alpha \circ \alpha)$ is a three-form depending on α and φ_0. Consequently

$$*\varphi = (a^2 - |\alpha|^2) * \varphi_0 + 2a(\alpha \wedge \varphi_0) + *i(\alpha \circ \alpha).$$

Consider a generic one form $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{7} \alpha_j e^j$, with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$i(\alpha \circ \alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq 7} \alpha_j \alpha_k e^j \wedge (\iota_{e_k} \varphi_0),$$

we obtain the following explicit expression for $*\varphi$

$$\begin{aligned}
&* \varphi = (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{3456} + (2a\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_5 - \alpha_2\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_7)e^{3567} + \\
&(2a\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_7 + \alpha_3\alpha_5 - \alpha_4\alpha_6)e^{1347} + (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{1256} + (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{2357} + \\
&(2a\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_7 - \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5)e^{2347} + (2a\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_7 + \alpha_3\alpha_6 + \alpha_4\alpha_5)e^{2567} + \\
&(a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{1367} + (2a\alpha_4 - \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3\alpha_7)e^{4567} + (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{1457} + \\
&(2a\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_7 - \alpha_3\alpha_5 + \alpha_4\alpha_6)e^{1567} + (-a^2 + |\alpha|^2)e^{2467} + (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)e^{1234} + \\
&(2 - a\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_7 - \alpha_3\alpha_5 - \alpha_4\alpha_6)e^{1236} + (2 - a\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\alpha_7 + \alpha_3\alpha_5 + \alpha_4\alpha_6)e^{1246} + \\
&(2 - a\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_7 + \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5)e^{1235} + (2a\alpha_3 - \alpha_1\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_7)e^{1237} + \\
&(2a\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_7 + \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5 e^{1246} + (2a\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_6 + \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3\alpha_7)e^{1247} + \\
&(2a\alpha_5 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_2\alpha_4 - \alpha_6\alpha_7)e^{1257} + (2a\alpha_6 - \alpha_1\alpha_4 - \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_5\alpha_7)e^{1267} + \\
&(2a\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 - \alpha_3\alpha_7 e^{1345} + (2a\alpha_3 - \alpha_1\alpha_5 - \alpha_2\alpha_6 + \alpha_4\alpha_7)e^{1346} + \\
&(-2a\alpha_6 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 - \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_5\alpha_7)e^{1356}(-2a\alpha_7 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3\alpha_4 - \alpha_5\alpha_6)e^{1357} + \\
&(-2a\alpha_5 - \alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_2\alpha_4 - \alpha_6\alpha_7)e^{1456} + (2a\alpha_7 + \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3\alpha_4 - \alpha_5\alpha_6)e^{1467} + \\
&(2a\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_6 + \alpha_4\alpha_7)e^{2345} + (-2a\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3\alpha_7)e^{2346} + \\
&(-2a\alpha_5 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 - \alpha_6\alpha_7)e^{2356} + (2a\alpha_7 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 - \alpha_5\alpha_6)e^{2367} + \\
&(2a\alpha_6 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 - \alpha_2\alpha_3 - \alpha_5\alpha_7)e^{2456} + (2a\alpha_7 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_5\alpha_6)e^{2457} + \\
&(2a\alpha_5 - \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 - \alpha_6\alpha_7)e^{3457} + (2a\alpha_6 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_5\alpha_7)e^{3467}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(*\varphi) = -(a^2 - |\alpha|^2)\sqrt{2}e^{12357} - (2a\alpha_4 - \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 + \alpha_3\alpha_7)\sqrt{2}e^{12467} + \\
&(-2a\alpha_7\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5\alpha_6 - 2a\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\alpha_7 - \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5)e^{12457} + \\
&(-2a\alpha_3\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_5 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_6 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\alpha_7 + 2a\alpha_5 - \alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_6\alpha_7)e^{12345} + \\
&- (2a\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_5 - \alpha_2\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_7)\sqrt{2}e^{12367} + (a^2 - |\alpha|^2)\sqrt{2}e^{12346} + \\
&(2a\alpha_5\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_6\alpha_7 + 2a\alpha_7 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 - \alpha_5\alpha_6)e^{12347} + \\
&(2a\alpha_6\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_4 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5\alpha_7)e^{23457} + (-2a\alpha_3 - \alpha_1\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_6 + \alpha_4\alpha_7)e^{13457}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we see that $d(*\varphi)=0$ is equivalent to the following system

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a^2-|\alpha|^2=0, \quad a\alpha_4-\alpha_1\alpha_6-\alpha_2\alpha_5+\alpha_3\alpha_7=0,\\
&2a\alpha_3+\alpha_1\alpha_5-\alpha_2\alpha_6-\alpha_4\alpha_7=0,\\
&2a\alpha_6\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_4+\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_3+\sqrt{2}\alpha_5\alpha_7=0,\\
&-2a\alpha_3\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_5+\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_6-\sqrt{2}\alpha_4\alpha_7+2a\alpha_5-\alpha_1\alpha_3-\alpha_2\alpha_4+\alpha_6\alpha_7=0,\\
&2a\alpha_5\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_3+\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\alpha_4-\sqrt{2}\alpha_6\alpha_7+2a\alpha_7-\alpha_1\alpha_2+\alpha_3\alpha_4-\alpha_5\alpha_6=0,\\
&-2a\alpha_7\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\alpha_2+\sqrt{2}\alpha_3\alpha_4+\sqrt{2}\alpha_5\alpha_6-2a\alpha_2-\alpha_1\alpha_7-\alpha_3\alpha_6-\alpha_4\alpha_5=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

in the variables a,α_j, $j=1,\dots,7$. We can show that the above system has no solution. In fact, from the first equation it follows that $a^2=|\alpha|^2$, so, it is not restrictive to suppose that $2a=1$. By the second, third and fourth equations we obtain

$$\alpha_4=\alpha_1\alpha_6+\alpha_2\alpha_5-\alpha_3\alpha_7,\ \alpha_3=\frac{-\alpha_1\alpha_6\alpha_7-\alpha_2\alpha_5\alpha_7+\alpha_1\alpha_5-\alpha_2\alpha_6}{1+\alpha_7^2},\ \alpha_6=-\alpha_5\alpha_7.$$

By the last equation $(d(*\varphi))_{12457}=0$ we get $\alpha_5^2=-1$ and so $\mathfrak{n}_8$ does not admit any coclosed G_2-structure inducing the nilsoliton.

7. Contact metric structures induced by coclosed G_2-structures

In general, the existence of a coclosed G_2-structure is independent of the existence of a contact form. We recall that a contact metric structure on a Riemannian manifold (M,g) is a unit length vector field ξ such that the endomorphism ϕ defined by $g(\phi\cdot,\cdot)=\frac{1}{2}d\eta(\cdot,\cdot)$ and the 1-form $\eta=\langle\xi,\cdot\rangle$ are related by

$$\phi^2=-\mathrm{Id}+\eta\otimes\xi.$$

A contact metric structure (M,g,ξ,ϕ) is called K-contact if the Reeb vector field ξ is Killing and it is said to be normal if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold $M\times\mathbb{R}$ is integrable. A Sasakian manifold. is a normal contact metric manifold.

A natural question is whether on a 7-manifold there exists a contact metric structure such that the metric is induced by a coclosed G_2-structure. For 2-step nilmanifolds we can show the following

Proposition 20. *If a 2-step nilmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$ admits an invariant contact metric structure (g,ξ,ϕ) such that the metric g is induced by a coclosed G_2-structure, then G is isomorphic to the 7-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group. Moreover, $\Gamma\backslash G$ has an invariant Sasakian structure.*

Proof. Since the involved structures are invariant, we can work at the level of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. In [21], Kutsak showed that a decomposable 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra does not admit any contact structure and the only indecomposable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra admitting a contact form is the Lie algebra (17), which is the Lie algebra of 7-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group. Therefore, the result follows by Theorem 16. □

Note that by [1] the Heisenberg Lie algebra (17) is indeed the only 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra admitting a Sasakian structure. So a natural problem is whether

on a (not Sasakian) 7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra there exists a K-contact metric structure such that the metric is induced by a coclosed structure.

Example 21. Consider the following indecomposable 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{n} = (0, 0, 0, 12, 13, 0, 16 + 25 + 34).$$

By [16] we know that $\mathfrak{n}$ admits a $K-$contact metric structure defined by $g = \sum_{i=1}^{7}(e^i)^2$ and the Reeb vector $\xi = e_7$. We can show that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a coclosed G_2-structure φ whose underlying metric coincides with g. Indeed, consider the 3-form

$$\varphi = -e^{167} - e^{237} + e^{457} - e^{124} - e^{135} - e^{256} + e^{346}.$$

One can check that $g_\varphi = g$ and that the Hodge dual $\star\varphi$ of φ given by

$$\star\varphi = e^{1236} - e^{1456} - e^{1257} + e^{1347} + e^{2467} + e^{2357}$$

is closed.

References

[1] A. Andrada, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, A class of Sasakian 5- manifolds, *Transform. Groups* **14** (2009), 493–512.

[2] M. F. Arikan, H. Cho, S. Salur, Existence of compatible contact structures on G_2-manifolds, *Asian J. Math.* **17** (2013), 321–334.

[3] R. L. Bryant, Some remarks on G_2-structures. *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005*, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006, pp. 75–109.

[4] M. Cabrera, On Riemannian manifolds with G_2-structure, *Boll. Un. Mat. It.* **10** (1996), 99–112.

[5] D. Conti, Half-flat nilmanifolds, *Math. Ann.* 350 (2011), 155–168.

[6] D. Conti, M. Fernández, Nilmanifolds with a calibrated G_2-structure, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **29** (2011), 493–506.

[7] V. Cortés, T. Leistner, L. Schäfer, F. Schulte-Hengesbach, Half-flat structures and special holonomy, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **102** (2011), 113–158.

[8] D. Crowley and J. Nördstrom, New invariants of G_2-structures, *Geom. Topol.* **19** (2015), 2949–2992.

[9] A. Edison, C. Fernandez, Classification of nilsoliton metrics in dimension seven, *J. Geom. Phys.* **86**, (2014), 164–179.

[10] T. Fei, Stable Forms, Vector Cross Products and Their Applications in Geometry, Preprint arXiv:1504.02807.

[11] M. Fernández, An example of a compact calibrated manifold associated with the exceptional Lie group G_2, *J. Differ. Geom.* **26** (1987), 367–370.

[12] M. Fernández, A. Fino, V. Manero, Laplacian flow of closed G_2-structures inducing nilsolitons, *J. Geom. Anal.* **26** (2016), 1808–1837.

[13] M. Fernández, A. Gray, Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2, *Annali di Mat. Pura Appl.* **32** (1982), 19–45.

[14] E. Fernandez-Culma, Classification of 7-dimensional Einstein nilradicals, *Transform. Groups* **17** (2012), no. 3, 639–656.

[15] M-P. Gong, *Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 7 (over algebraically closed fields and $\mathbb{R}$)*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 1998.

[16] M. Goze, A. Medina, Y. Khakimdjanov, Symplectic or contact structures on Lie groups, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **21**, (2004) 41-54.

[17] R.S. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, *Contemp. Math.* **71** (1988), 237–261.

[18] N. Hitchin, The geometry of three-forms in six and seven dimensions, *J. Differ. Geom.* **55** (2000), 547-576.

[19] N. Hitchin, Stable forms and special metrics, in *Global Differential Geometry: The Mathematical Legacy of Alfred Gray* volume 288 of *Contemp. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001, pp. 70–89.

[20] N. Hitchin, Special holonomy and beyond, in *Strings and Geometry*, volume 3 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, pp. 159–175.

[21] S. Kutsak, Invariant contact structures on 7-dimensional nilmanifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* **172** (2014), 351–361.
[22] J. Lauret, Ricci soliton homogeneous nilmanifolds, *Math. Ann.* **319** (2001), 715–733.
[23] J. Lauret, Einstein solvmanifolds and nilsolitons, in New Developments in Lie Theory and Geometry, *Contemp. Math.* **491**, *Amer. Math. Soc*, Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 1–35.
[24] A. I. Mal'cev, On a class of homogeneous spaces, reprinted in *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations* Series 1, 9 (1962), 276–307.
[25] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups, *Ann. of Math.* **59** (1954), 531–538.
[26] W. Reichel, *Über die Trilinearen Alternierenden Formen in 6 und 7 Veränderlichen*. Dissertation, Greifswald, 1907.
[27] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*. Longman Scientific and Techincal, Harlow Essex, U.K., 1989.
[28] F. Schulte-Hengesbach, *Half-flat structures on Lie groups*, Ph. D. Thesis, University Hamburg, 2010; available at http://www.math.unihamburg. de/home/schulte-hengesbach/diss.pdf.
[29] C. Will, Rank-one Einstein solvmanifolds of dimension 7, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **19** (2003), 307–318.

L. Bagaglini: Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini"
Università di Firenze
Viale Giovan Battista Morgagni, 67/A
50134 Firenze, Italy
leonardo.bagaglini@gmail.com

M. Fernández: Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología,
Universidad del País Vasco, Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain.
marisa.fernandez@ehu.es

A. Fino: Dipartimento di Matematica "Giuseppe Peano"
Università di Torino
Via Carlo Alberto 10
10123 Torino, Italy
annamaria.fino@unito.it